

EXHIBIT 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

SUSAN SCHLINK, individually and on)
behalf of all similarly-situated persons,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
) Case No. 15 C 5894
v.)
) Magistrate Judge Sidney Schenkier
FIRE KING INTERNATIONAL, INC., d/b/a) (By Consent)
FIRE KING SECURITY GROUP)
)
Defendants.)
)

**ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING PROPOSED SETTLEMENT,
SCHEDULING HEARING FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF, AND
APPROVING PROPOSED CLASS NOTICE**

WHEREAS, the Parties have made application for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of this Litigation as stated in the Class Action Settlement Agreement, which, together with the exhibits attached thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement of the Litigation and for dismissal of the Litigation upon the terms and conditions set forth therein;

WHEREAS, the Court has read and considered the Settlement Agreement, the exhibits attached thereto, and the briefing submitted in support of preliminary approval of the Settlement;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement and the Settlement set forth therein as being fair, reasonable and adequate. The Settlement Agreement is the result of arm's-length negotiations between experienced attorneys who are familiar with class action litigation in general and with the legal and factual issues of this case in particular.
2. The Court has considered the pleadings and arguments made by the parties in support of the motion for preliminary approval and finds that the proposed Settlement Class is

proper and the class should be certified. For purposes of the proposed settlement, a Settlement Class is hereby certified pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 23 as follows:

All persons who have been employed by FKI Security Group, LLC (a.k.a. FireKing International and/or FireKing Security Group) or their predecessors, successors or assigns as Customer Service Representatives, or in other similar job positions at any FireKing location and at any time from July 2, 2012 through and including the present, and who were not paid wages for overtime worked over and above their set regular salaries and/or who have not been paid proper overtime rates of pay for all time worked over 40 hours in individual work weeks and who are listed on Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement.

3. The Court specifically finds (i) the class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (ii) common questions of fact and law exist; (iii) the Named Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class' claims; (iv) the Class Representative will be able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class. In addition, the Court finds that common questions of fact and law predominate over questions affecting individual class members, and the class action is superior to other available methods. Certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes is the best means for protecting the interests of all the Settlement Class Members. Therefore, this matter is certified as a class action.

4. The Court does hereby approve Robin B. Potter, M. Nieves Bolaños, and Patrick Cowlin of Robin Potter & Associates, P.C., as Class Counsel. The Court also approves Susan Schlink as class representative.

5. A hearing for purposes of determining whether the Settlement should be finally approved, shall be held before this Court on _____, 2016 at _____.m., in Room _____ of the U.S. District Court, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604. At the hearing the Court will hear final arguments concerning whether the proposed Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be approved by the Court. The Court will also hear at that time any objections submitted by Class Members. The Court will also consider Class

Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and costs and for the requested Enhancement Payment to be made to Ms. Schlink for her service as Class Representative.

6. The Court approves as to form and content the Summary Notice and Complete Notice, as attached as Exhibits B and C to the Joint Stipulation and Agreement to Settle Class Action Claims, and finds that the distribution of the Class Notice set forth in Section IV, Paragraph 13 of the Settlement Agreement: (1) meets the requirements of federal law and due process; (2) is the best notice practicable under the circumstances; and (3) shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all individuals entitled thereto.

7. All Class Members who are entitled to opt out of the Settlement Class and do not do so shall be bound by all determinations and judgments in the Litigation concerning the Settlement, whether favorable or unfavorable to the Settlement Class.

8. Class Members shall not be required to submit a claim form to participate in the Settlement and receive a monetary award.

9. Within sixty-three (63) days of the mailing of the Class Notice, Class Members objecting to the terms of the Settlement must do so in writing. The written objection must be sent to Plaintiff's Counsel, postmarked on or before this date, and filed with the Clerk of the Court.

10. Within sixty-three (63) days of the mailing of the Class Notice, Class Members who wish to exclude themselves (opt-out) from the Settlement Class and not participate in the proposed Settlement must submit a written request for exclusion to Plaintiff's Counsel. Any class member who submits an opt-out statement shall postmark, sign, and date the statement and send it to Plaintiff's Counsel.

11. Any member of the Settlement Class may enter an appearance in the Litigation, at his or her own expense, individually or through counsel or his or her own choice. Any member

of the Settlement Class who does not enter an appearance or opt out of the Settlement Agreement will be represented by Class Counsel.

12. Any member of the Settlement Class may appear at the Final Approval Hearing and show cause, if any, why: (1) the proposed Settlement of the Litigation should or should not be approved as fair, reasonable and adequate; (2) why a judgment should or should not be entered thereon; (3) why attorneys' fees should or should not be awarded to Class Counsel; and/or (4) why the Class Representative should or should not receive extra compensation in the form of an Enhancement Payment.

13. No Class Member or any other person shall be heard or entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the proposed Settlement, or if approved, the Judgment to be entered thereon approving the same, or, if awarded, compensation for the Class Representatives or the attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel, unless that person has, no later than sixty-three (63) days after mailing of the Class Notice to the Settlement Class, served by hand or by first class mail on Plaintiffs' Counsel, written objections, and copies of any papers and briefs in support thereof, explaining the basis of the objection.

14. All timely filed and served objections shall also be filed with the Clerk of the Court and considered and ruled upon by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing. Any member of the Settlement Class who does not timely file and serve his or her objection in the manner proved above shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall be forever foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness or adequacy of the proposed Settlement as incorporated in the Settlement Agreement and any award of attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

15. Class Counsel shall file their Motion for Final Approval petition for an award of attorneys' fees no later than ten (10) days prior to the Final Approval Hearing.

16. All papers in support of the Settlement shall be filed no later than ten (10) days prior to the Final Approval Hearing.

17. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court shall determine whether the proposed Settlement, and any application for attorneys' fees or reimbursement of costs, shall be approved.

18. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Class members, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

DATED: _____

Magistrate Judge Sidney Schenkier